Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**AP US DBQ Rubric**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Reporting Category** | **Scoring Criteria** | **Point** | **Points Earned** |
| **A Thesis/Claim** | **1 point****Responds to the prompt with a historically defensible thesis/ claim that establishes a line of reasoning.*** May not restate or rephrase the prompt
* Must consist of 1 or more sentences together, either in intro or conclusion
 | **0-1** | **\_\_\_/1** |
| **B Contextualization** | **1 point****Describes a broader historical context relevant to the prompt.*** Cannot be a phrase or simple reference
* Relate topic of prompt to broader historical events, developments, or processes that occur before, during, or continue after the time frame of questions
 |  **0-1** | **\_\_\_/1** |
| **C Evidence** | **EVIDENCE from the DOCUMENTS** **1 point OR 2 points****Uses the content Supports an argument** **of at least *three* in response to the prompt** **documents to using at least *six* documents.** **address the topic** **of the prompt.** * For 1 point, must describe not quote content from minimum of 3 documents
* For 2 points, must describe not quote content from minimum of 6 documents. Must use content of documents to support argument in response to the prompt

**EVIDENCE beyond the DOCUMENTS****1 point****Uses at least one additional piece of the specific historical evidence (beyond that found in the documents) relevant to an argument about the prompt.*** Cannot be a phrase or simple reference
* Must be different from the evidence used to earn the contextualization point
 | **0-2****0-1** | **\_\_\_/3** |
| **D Analysis and**  **Reasoning** | **1 point****For at least *three* documents, explains how or why the document’s point of view, purpose, historical situation, and/or audience is relevant to an argument.*** Must explain how or why the document’s point of view, purpose, historical situation, or audience is relevant to argument about the prompt for each of 3 documents sourced
* Students should have topic sentences create “connective tissue” throughout the body paragraphs using connecting words to enhance their argument. These sentences should indicate how the documents and/or additional evidence relate to each other and how they relate to the prompt.

**-----------------------------------------****1 point** **Demonstrates a complex understanding of the historical development that is the focus of the prompt, using evidence to corroborate, qualify, or modify an argument that addresses the question.*** Response can—explain nuance of issue by analyzing multiple variables, explain both similarity and difference, or both continuity and change, or multiple causes, or both causes and effects, explain connections within & across periods, corroborate argument through multiple perspectives across themes, qualify/modify argument through diverse/alternative views/evidence
* Cannot be a phrase or simple reference
 | **0-2** | **\_\_\_/2** |

 **TOTAL \_\_\_/7**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**AP US LEQ Rubric**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Reporting Category** | **Scoring Criteria** | **Point** | **Points Earned** |
| **A Thesis/Claim** | **1 point****Responds to the prompt with a historically defensible thesis/ claim that establishes a line of reasoning.*** May not restate or rephrase the prompt
* Must consist of 1 or more sentences together, either in intro or conclusion
 | **0-1** | **\_\_\_/1** |
| **B Contextualization** | **1 point****Describes a broader historical context relevant to the prompt.*** Cannot be a phrase or simple reference
* Relate topic of prompt to broader historical events, developments, or processes that occur before, during, or continue after the time frame of question
 |  **0-1** | **\_\_\_/1** |
| **C Evidence** | **1 point OR 2 points****Provides specific examples Supports an *argument* in****of evidence relevant to the response to the prompt using****topic of the prompt. specific and relevant**  **examples of evidence.** * For 1 point, must identify specific historical examples of evidence relevant to topic of prompt
* For 2 points, must use specific historical evidence to support argument in response to prompt
 | **0-2** | **\_\_\_/2** |
| **D Analysis and**  **Reasoning** | **1 point OR 2 points****Uses historical Demonstrates a complex** **reasoning understanding of the****(comparison, historical development****causation, CCOT) that is the focus of the****to frame or structure historical development that****an argument that is the focus of the prompt,****addresses the using evidence to****prompt. corroborate, qualify, or** **modify an argument that** **addresses the question.** * For 1 point, must demonstrate use of historical reasoning to frame/structure argument. Reasoning might be uneven/imbalanced.
* For 2 points, response can—explain nuance of issue by analyzing multiple variables, explain both similarity and difference, or both continuity and change, or multiple causes, or both causes and effects, explain connections within & across periods, corroborate argument through multiple perspectives across themes, qualify/modify argument through diverse/alternative views/evidence
* For 2 points, cannot be a phrase or simple reference. Must demonstrate complex understanding
* Students should have topic sentences create “connective tissue” throughout the body paragraphs using connecting words to enhance their argument. These sentences should indicate how the documents and/or additional evidence relate to each other and how they relate to the prompt.
 | **0-2** | **\_\_\_/2** |

 **TOTAL \_\_\_/6**

|  |
| --- |
| **6 = 100 5 = 93 4 = 85 3 = 76 2 = 66 1 = 50** |